

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

Case:2:14-cr-20525

Judge: Michelson, Laurie J.

MJ: Majzoub, Mona K.

Filed: 09-03-2014 At 12:40 PM

INDI USA V NATHANIEL PEMBROOK (EB)

-VS-

D-1 NATHANIEL PEMBROOK.

Defendant.

VIOLATIONS:

18 U.S.C. §§ 1951(a), 2

18 U.S.C. §§ 924(c), 2

18 U.S.C. §§ 922(g), 2

INDICTMENT

THE GRAND JURY CHARGES:

COUNT ONE

(18 U.S.C. §§1951(a), 2 - Interference with Commerce by Robbery; Aiding and Abetting)

That on or about April 22, 2014, in the Eastern District of Michigan, Southern Division, defendant NATHANIEL PEMBROOK, did unlawfully obstruct, delay, and affect interstate commerce, and the movement of articles and commodities in such commerce, by robbery of a jewelry store that was engaged in commercial activity that affects interstate commerce; namely: Tapper's Diamonds and Fine Jewelry.

located at 6337 Orchard Lake Road, West Bloomfield, Michigan.

Defendant aided and abetted others to unlawfully take property from the presence of store employees and against their will by means of actual and threatened force, violence and fear of injury; that is: robbery of the employees and store at gun point, all in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1951(a) and 2.

COUNT TWO

(18 U.S.C. §§ 924(c), 2 – Possession of a Firearm in Furtherance of a Crime of Violence; Aiding and Abetting)

That on or about April 22, 2014, in the Eastern District of Michigan, Southern Division, defendant NATHANIEL PEMBROOK, did knowingly aid and abet the use and carrying of a firearm during and in relation to a crime of violence for which Defendant may be prosecuted for in a court of the United States, that is Interference with Commerce by Robbery as set forth in Count One, such firearm was brandished during a robbery of Tapper's Diamonds and Fine Jewelry, located at 6337 Orchard Lake Road, West Bloomfield, Michigan, all in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 924(c) and 2.

COUNT THREE

(18 U.S.C. §§1951(a) – Conspiracy to Interfere with Commerce by Robbery)

That from on or about April 20, 2014, to April 22, 2014 in the Eastern District of Michigan, Southern Division, and elsewhere, defendant NATHANIEL PEMBROOK, did unlawfully conspire with persons known and unknown to the grand jury, to obstruct, delay, and affect interstate commerce, and the movement of articles and commodities in such commerce, by robbery of a jewelry store that was engaged in commercial activity that affects interstate commerce; including but not limited to: Tapper's Diamonds and Fine Jewelry, located at 6337 Orchard Lake Road, West Bloomfield, Michigan; and Medawar Jewelers, located at 4518 Plainfield Ave, Grand Rapids, Michigan.

Defendant agreed and planned with others to unlawfully take property from the presence of store employees and against their will by means of actual and threatened force, violence and fear of injury; that is: robbery of the employees and store at gun point, all in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1951(a).

COUNT FOUR

(18 U.S.C. §§ 924(c), 2 – Possession of a Firearm in Furtherance of a Crime of Violence; Aiding and Abetting)

That from on or about April 20, 2014, to April 22, 2014, in the Eastern District of Michigan, Southern Division, defendant NATHANIEL PEMBROOK, did knowingly aid and abet the use and carrying of a firearm during and in relation to a crime of violence for which Defendant may be prosecuted for in a court of the United States, that is Conspiracy to Interfere with Commerce by Robbery as set forth in Count Three, such firearm was brandished during a robbery of Tapper's Diamonds and Fine Jewelry, located at 6337 Orchard Lake Road, West Bloomfield, Michigan; and at Medawar Jewelers, located at 4518 Plainfield Ave, Grand Rapids, Michigan; all in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 924(c) and 2.

COUNT FIVE

(18 U.S.C. §§ 922(g), 2 – Possession of a Firearm by a Felon; Aiding and Abetting

That on or about April 22, 2014, in the Eastern District of Michigan, Southern Division, the defendant, NATANIEL PEMBROOK,

having been convicted of a crime punishable by imprisonment for a term

exceeding one year, did knowingly possess, and did aid and abet others

to possess, in and affecting interstate commerce, a firearm, said firearm

having been shipped and transported in interstate commerce; in

violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 922(g) and 2.

THIS IS A TRUE BILL.

/s/ Grand Jury Foreperson
Grand Jury Foreperson

BARBARA L. MCQUADE United States Attorney

/s/ Christopher Graveline
CHRISTOPHER GRAVELINE
Chief, Violent and Organized Crimes Unit

/s/ Daniel L. Lemisch
DANIEL L. LEMISCH
Assistant U.S. Attorney

Dated: September 3, 2014

United States District Court Eastern District of Michigan	Criminal Case Co	over MJ: Majzoul Filed: 09-03	nelson, Laurie J.	
NOTE: It is the responsibility of the Assistant U.S		mplete it accurately in all res	spects.	
Companion Case Informati			Companion Case Number:	
This may be a companion case based upon LCrR 57.10 (b)(4) ¹ :		Judge Assigned:		
Case Title: USA v. D-1 Na County where offense occ	thaniel Pembrook	AUSA's Initials:	5	
Indictment/In	formation no prior com formation based upon formation based upon	prior complaint [Case n	Petty number: e Superseding section below].	
Superseding to Case No: Original case was term Corrects errors; no add	inated; no additional charg litional charges or defenda oses, different charges or a ct matter but adds the add	ints. adds counts.	charges below:	
<u>Defendant name</u>	<u>Cha</u>	<u>Pri</u>	or Complaint (if applicable)	
Please take notice that the below the above captioned case. September 3, 2014 Date	Christophe Assistant U 211 W. Fo Detroit, MI Phone: (31 Fax: (31 E-Mail add	er Graveline nited States Attorney rt Street, Suite 2001 48226-3277 3) 226-9155 3) 226-3265		

¹ Companion cases are matters in which it appears that (1) substantially similar evidence will be offered at trial, (2) the same or related parties are present, and the cases arise out of the same transaction or occurrence. Cases may be companion cases even though one of them may have already been terminated.